CODE OF PRACTICE ON FREEDOM OF SPEECH

This Code of Practice sets out the University of Suffolk and Students' Union approach to freedom

of speech for the benefit of the Institution's students and staff and external visitors.

Introduction

1. The University of Suffolk supports and promotes the principle of freedom of speech and

expression within the law as one of the fundamental principles upon which higher education is

founded. The University will ensure that students, staff and visiting speakers/lecturers have

freedom to question, test and to put forward new ideas and controversial or unpopular opinions,

without placing themselves at risk. Whilst we defend this freedom, we also expect that challenge,

critical debate and the exploration of such ideas will be conducted within a spirit of dignity and

respect.

2. So far as is reasonably practicable, access to University premises shall not be denied to

any registered student or employee of the Institution, including Visiting Fellows and/or Professors;

nor to any individual or body of persons invited to the premises by a registered student or employee

of the University of Suffolk or Students' Union on any grounds relating to:

a) the beliefs or views of that registered student, employee or person so invited; or

b) the policies or objectives of that body;

except insofar as the expression of such belief, views, policies or objectives shall be unlawful and

where it is reasonably anticipated that the unlawful expression of such beliefs, views, policies or

objectives might occur on the relevant occasion.

3. Save for the provisions in paragraph 5 below, it shall not be a reasonable ground of refusal

of permission or facilities for any event that the views likely to be expressed there may be

controversial or contrary to any policy of the University or Students' Union, provided that the

expression of such views is lawful. Expression of opinions which are annoying, offensive, in bad

taste, or ill-mannered is not automatically unlawful and should not be the sole ground for refusal

unless this would result in the University of Suffolk or the Students' Union failing in its wider legal

duties.

4. The University reserves the right to refuse access to its premises or impose such

conditions upon the grant of access to its premises as it considers are reasonably necessary for

the discharge of its obligations relating to the safety, health and welfare of its students, employees

and other persons lawfully upon the premises or for the efficient conduct and administration of its

functions.

Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech

Version: 3.0 (August 2022)

Owner: Academic Registrar

Page 1 of 8

5. Where it concludes that imposing conditions would not be sufficient for the discharge of

its obligations relating to the safety, health and welfare of its students, employees and other

persons lawfully upon the premises or for the efficient conduct and administration of its functions,

the University may decline to permit such events to be held.

6. Every person employed at the University and the Students' Union, every student enrolling

at the University and external visitors/speakers should be aware that joining the University of

Suffolk community involves obligations and responsibilities which are consistent with the above

principles and the law.

7. This Code of Practice shall be adhered to by all persons relating to meetings, events or

other activities which it is proposed shall be held on behalf of the University or on University

premises and which fall within the class of meeting, event or activities as defined in paragraph 8

below.

8. A designated meeting, event or activity is one which it is proposed shall take place in

which the University has a reputational interest and at which the organisers of the meeting or

 $activity, or the \ Chief \ Operating \ Officer \ , \ reasonably \ anticipate \ a \ real \ likelihood \ of \ unlawful \ conduct$

on the part of any person present at the meeting or activity or relating to the access to or egress

from the meeting or activity of any person attending or concerned in the organisation or conduct

of the meeting or activity.

9. Nothing in this Code will affect the rights of any person taking any steps pursuant to lawful

industrial action.

The Legal Context

10. The protection and promotion of freedom of speech is articulated within the legislative

framework listed below. Further information is appended to this code of practice.

• Human Rights Act 1998

Education Act 1986

Education reform Act 1988

• Equality Act 2010

Counter Terrorism and Security Act 2015

Application Procedure in respect of Designated Meetings, Events or Activities

11. It is the duty of any student or employee of the University of Suffolk or Students' Union

Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech Version: 3.0 (August 2022)

Owner: Academic Registrar

who is involved in the organisation of any proposed meeting, event or activity to have due regard

to the question whether the proposed meeting may be a designated meeting or activity as defined

at paragraph 8. above.

12. If any such student or employee considers that the proposed meeting, event or activity

may fall within that definition is required to obtain a decision from the Chief Operating Officer as

to whether the meeting or activity falls within that definition.

13. If the proposed meeting, event or activity is so considered, those involved in the

organisation of the designated meeting or activity are required to proceed according to the

provisions of this Code.

14. The organisers of any designated meeting, event or activity are required to appoint an

individual, who shall be a registered student or employee of the University or Students' Union, to

act as principal organiser of the event for the purposes of this Code.

15. The principal organiser shall be responsible, as far as is reasonably practicable, for the

risk assessment and identification of mitigating conditions to thereby ensure that the organisation

of the designated meeting, event or activity, the conduct of the organisers and the conduct of any

person invited to participate shall be lawful and shall conform to the provisions of this Code and

to any condition relating to the designated meeting or activity duly imposed under this Code.

16. An application to hold a designated meeting, event or activity shall be made to the Chief

Operating Officer at least 28 days before the date proposed for the event. The application must

be accompanied by a risk assessment and must state the subject of any speech and name and

proposed timing of the arrival and departure of any visiting speaker who is not a member or

employee of the University. The application must be signed by an individual identified as principal

organiser of the event and must incorporate an undertaking by the principal organiser to accept

responsibility under 29. Above.

17. The Chief Operating Officer, following consultation with the appropriate Pro-Vice-

Chancellor, shall inform the person or body organising the event in writing within 7 days of the

date of the notice whether the meeting, event or activity may go ahead.

18. Permission to hold a designated meeting, event or activity may be granted subject to any

conditions the Chief Operating Officer may consider reasonably necessary to secure compliance

with the Institution's legal obligations or to maintain or promote the efficient conduct and

Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech Version: 3.0 (August 2022)

Owner: Academic Registrar

Page 3 of 8

administration of its functions. Any failure to comply with such conditions shall constitute a

contravention of this Code.

19. Premises used for designated meetings, events and activities shall be left clean and tidy

and in good repair. Failure to leave premises in such condition may result in a charge being levied

upon the principal organiser to cover the cost of any cleaning or repairs which, in the University's

judgement, are required. If the event organiser has, due to exceptional circumstances, been

unable to provide 28 days' notice to the Chief Operating Officer, the Vice Chancellor shall, at their

discretion, have the right to vary this procedure in order to ensure that a final decision is provided

to the organiser prior to the date of the meeting.

20. If any person or organisation believes that the actions of the Chief Operating Officer in

refusing permission or facilities for the holding of the event, or the actions of the University in

imposing conditions are unreasonable, it shall have a right to make representations. Any

representation shall be made to the Vice Chancellor within 7 days of the date of the letter

confirming the original decision. The Vice Chancellor shall consider such representations and

within 7 days shall confirm in writing to the relevant person or organisation whether the original

decision is to be upheld or varied. The Vice Chancellor's ruling shall be final. The Vice Chancellor

shall report any ruling made in respect of an appeal under this Code to the next meeting of the

Board.

General

The Code of Practice and any associated guidance will be disseminated and 21.

communicated to staff and students annually. Any contravention of or departure from the

provisions of this Code shall render the registered student or employee responsible liable to the

appropriate Institutional disciplinary procedures.

22. The University may seek external advice when determining whether a meeting may go

ahead and share event information with partners. Where this takes place, it will be carried out

within the law.

23. Departments, Directorates and Schools must maintain a record of all non-academic

events and external speakers and report this annually when requested. Non-academic events

refer to meetings events, activities or speakers that are not delivered within a programme.

24. The Chief Operating Officer will report annually to the Board, at its first meeting of the

academic year, on all decisions made under this Code of Practice, comment on the

Page 4 of 8

Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech

Version: 3.0 (August 2022)

Owner: Academic Registrar

implementation of its provisions and recommend any amendments or additions thereto for consideration by the Board.

Policies and procedures

- 25. This Code of Practice makes direct and indirect reference to policies and procedures which define particular University functions and processes. Key policies and procedures include:
 - a) Student Discipline Procedure
 - b) Staff Discipline Procedure
 - c) Dignity at Study Policy
 - d) Dignity and Respect at Work Policy
 - e) Safeguarding Policy

Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech Version: 3.0 (August 2022) Owner: Academic Registrar

Appendix: Legal Framework

Human Rights Act 1998

26. The Human Rights Act 1998 includes the following articles which are relevant to freedom

of speech:

Article 9: freedom of thought, conscience and religion. This right includes an individual's

freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or in private, to manifest

his religion or belief, in worship, teaching, practice and observance.

Article 10: freedom of expression. This right includes the freedom to hold opinions and

to receive and impart information and ideas without interference by public authority and

regardless of frontiers.

Article 11: freedom of assembly and association.

27. The exercise of these freedoms, since it carries with it duties and responsibilities, are

qualified and may be subject to such formalities, conditions, restrictions or penalties as are

prescribed by law or are considered necessary in a democratic society, for the protection of the

health, reputation or rights of others.

Education (No 2) Act 1986

28. Section 43 of the Education Act 1986 states that every individual and body of persons

concerned in the government of universities shall take steps as are reasonably practicable to

ensure that freedom of speech within the law is secured for members, students, and employees

of the establishment and for visiting speakers.

29. This includes, in particular, the duty to ensure, so far as is reasonably practicable, that the

use of any premises of the establishment is not denied to any individual or body of persons on any

ground connected with:

a) The beliefs or views of that individual or of any member of that body; or

b) The policy objectives of that body.

30. The Act requires that the University's governing body shall, with a view to facilitating the

discharge of this duty issue and keep up to date a code of practice setting out:

a) The procedures to be followed by members, students and employees of the

establishment in connections with the organisation:

i. of meetings which are to be held on the premises of the establishment and which

fall within any class of meeting specified in the code; and

ii. of other activities which are to take place on those premises and which fall within

any class of activity so specified; and

Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech

Version: 3.0 (August 2022) Owner: Academic Registrar Page 6 of 8

31.

b) The conduct required of such persons in connection with any such meeting or activity.

Additionally, the Act states that every individual and body of persons concerned in the

governance of the University shall take such steps as are reasonably practicable (including where

appropriate the initiation of disciplinary measures) to secure that the requirements of the code of

practice for the University are complied with.

Equality Act 2010

32. Simplifying previous equalities legislation, the Equality Act 2010 prohibits unlawful

discrimination in relation to certain 'protected characteristics', namely age, disability, gender

reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief,

sex and sexual orientation. Unlawful discrimination can occur in various ways:

through direct discrimination (less favourable treatment because of a protected

characteristic);

through indirect discrimination (the application of a provision, criterion or practice

which has a discriminatory effect on someone with a protected characteristic);

through harassment (engaging in 'unwanted conduct' related to a protected

characteristic, which includes verbal harassment);

through victimisation (subjecting someone to detrimental treatment because they seek

to bring proceedings under the Equality Act, for example).

33. The Act also created a Public Sector Duty for public authorities, including higher education

institutions, the aims of which are, across all protected characteristics, to:

a) eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

b) advance equality of opportunity between persons who do and do not share a protected

characteristic; and

c) foster good relations between persons who do and do not share a protected

characteristic.

34. Additionally, institutions should pay regard to their responsibilities in relation to gender

segregation as outlined in the 2014 Equality and Human Rights Commission guidance.

Counter-Terrorism and Security Act 2015

Section 26(1) of the Counter Terrorism and Security Act 2015 imposes a duty on 'specified 35.

authorities', when exercising their functions to have due regard to the need to prevent people from

being drawn into terrorism (the 'Prevent' duty). higher education institutions represent one of the

most important arenas for challenging extremist views and ideologies. Nevertheless, registered

Owner: Academic Registrar

Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech Version: 3.0 (August 2022)

higher education bodies (RHEBs) (including the University of Suffolk) are subject to this duty. The

encouragement of terrorism and inviting support for a proscribed terrorist organisation are both

criminal offences. RHEBs should not provide a platform for these offences to be committed. The

Prevent Duty indicates the need for appropriate policies and procedures for the management of

external speakers, events on campus, use of premises and for sharing information about external

speakers. There should also be a mechanism in place for assessing the risks associated with any

events which are RHEB- affiliated, funded or branded but which take place off-campus. The

Charity Commission have also provided guidance on this matter.

36. The Act also imposes arrangements to monitor compliance by the higher education sector

with the 'Prevent' duty. Higher education bodies, including the University of Suffolk, are required

to provide to the monitoring authority (Office for Students) any information required for the

purposes of monitoring performance in discharging their responsibilities.

Academic Freedom

The Education Reform Act 1988 (s202) established the legal right of academics in the UK

to question and test received wisdom and to put forward new ideas and controversial or unpopular opinions without placing themselves in jeopardy of losing their jobs or the privileges they may

have'.

38. The principle of freedom of speech set out in this Code shall extend to the performance by

all staff of their duties and responsibilities and to any visiting speaker participating in a designated

meeting or activity. It shall also extend to students presenting or exhibiting work produced during

the course of their studies with the University of Suffolk.

39. Any person or body to which this Code applies shall not take any action (other than by

reasonable and peaceful persuasion) to prevent the holding or continuation of any lecture, tutorial,

exhibition, or other academic activity because of the views held or expressed or which are

reasonably likely to be expressed (whether or not within the University), by the lecturer, tutor,

speaker or student concerned, except as provided for in paragraphs 5 and 29.

40. Any person or body to whom this Code applies shall not take any action (other than by

reasonable and peaceful persuasion) to prevent any student or group of students from attending

any academic activity required by, or properly associated with, the programme for which they

are enrolled because of the views or beliefs held or lawfully expressed (whether or not within the

University), by that student or because of the reasonable likelihood that such views will be

expressed.

Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech

Version: 3.0 (August 2022) Owner: Academic Registrar

Page 8 of 8